

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/806,990	08/16/2001	Peter Hasse	205739US6PCT	7869	
22850	7590 11/21/2003		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SI	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN	RODRIGUEZ, ISABEL			
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2836		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		, s		<i>\lambda</i>	01
è	_ ~			→ . ¥	KK.
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/806	.990	HASSE ET AL.	
"∌ ∙	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Isabel i	Rodriguez	2836	
Donie d 6	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on t	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN misions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and ly will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a re tatutory minimum of thirt will expire SIX (6) MON' pplication to become AB.	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this cor ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>4/5/01</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praion of Claims				merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the	ne application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from o	consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-12,14,16 and 17 is/are	rejected.			
7)⊠	Claim(s) 13,15 and 18 is/are object	ted to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election	requirement.		
_	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the		. <u></u>		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 200</u>				
	Applicant may not request that any of			·	
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction file		••	sapproved by the Examine	* <u>-</u>
40)[]	If approved, corrected drawings are re		Office action.		
	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner.			
	ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority i	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		**		
·	1. Certified copies of the priority				
	2. Certified copies of the priority				
* 5	3.☑ Copies of the certified copies application from the Inter See the attached detailed Office acti	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	*	tage
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).
) \square The translation of the foreign latcknowledgment is made of a claim				
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s nformal Patent Application (PTO	
J.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summ	ary	Part of P	aper No. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/806,990

Art Unit: 2836

e) Regarding claim 17, Abe et al. discloses the encapsulated spark gap of claim 10, it is inherent that the volume of the intermediate chamber is made large enough that an entire amount of gas produced by ignition in the arcing chamber is retained therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al.

Abe et al. discloses an discloses an encapsulated spark gap arrangement (fig. 2) for use in power supply systems, and optimized ability to extinguish system secondary currents as well as with an arcing chamber (26), within which arcing occurs between two electrodes in the spark gap, wherein downstream of the arcing chamber is disposed an intermediate chamber (14) of a volume which is substantially larger then the volume of the arcing chamber itself, and wherein there is further provided a pressure-resistant, preferably metallic flow channel (24) to connect the arcing chamber to the intermediate chamber. Abe et al. does not disclose the value of the ratio of the volume of the high pressure region and the volume of the low pressure region or the value of the ratio between the volumes of the arcing chamber and the intermediate chamber. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the ratio of volumes to any desired value as long as it compatible with the requirements of other elements in the circuit in order to properly performs the switching function of the circuit breaker switch. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result



Art Unit: 2836

effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 13,15 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 13,15 and 18 recite, inter alia, an encapsulated spark gap wherein the intermediate chamber on its inner surfaces is covered with a plastic that gives off a queching gas when heated, wherein metallic cooling surfaces or cooling ribs are used as means of additional elimination of heat or wherein a flow channel projects into the intermediate chamber and guide means are provided therein to divert the gas flow. The references of record do not teach or suggest the aforementioned limitation, nor would it be obvious to modify those references to include such limitation.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isabel Rodriguez whose telephone number is 703-305-4761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 703-308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7704 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2836

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

IR

October 22, 2003

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000